

High Dimensional Finite Elements for Elliptic Problems with Multiple Scales and Stochastic Data*

C. Schwab[†]

Abstract

Multiple scale homogenization problems are reduced to single scale problems in higher dimension. It is shown that sparse tensor product Finite Element Methods (FEM) allow the numerical solution in complexity independent of the dimension and of the length scale. Problems with stochastic input data are reformulated as high dimensional deterministic problems for the statistical moments of the random solution. Sparse tensor product FEM give a deterministic solution algorithm of log-linear complexity for statistical moments.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 65N30.

Keywords and Phrases: Sparse finite element methods, Homogenization, Stochastic partial differential equations, Wavelets.

1. Introduction

The numerical solution of elliptic problems with multiple scales in a bounded domain D can be achieved either by analytic homogenization [2], [10] through asymptotic analysis or by specially designed Finite Element spaces to capture the fine scales of the problem [8], [7]. In asymptotic theory of homogenization, the fine scale of the solution is averaged and lost in the homogenized limit. Fine scale information can be recovered from so called correctors which must be calculated separately. An alternative which we pursue here is to “unfold” the homogenization problem into a single scale problem in high dimension - so that homogenized and fine scale behaviour are still coupled [3]. As we will show here, this limiting, high-dimensional single scale problem can be solved numerically by sparse tensor product

*Research performed in the network “Homogenization and Multiple Scales 2000” (HMS 2000) of the EC and supported by the Swiss Federal government under grant No. BBW 01.0025-1 and by the Swiss National Fund under grant Number SNF 21-58754.99.

[†]Seminar for Applied Mathematics, ETH Zürich, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland. E-mail: schwab@sam.math.ethz.ch

FEM in complexity comparable to the optimal one for single scale problems in the physical domain D .

We also show how the same idea can be applied to the fast deterministic calculation of two and M point spatial correlation functions of random solutions to elliptic problems.

2. Homogenization problem

In a bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with Lipschitz boundary $\Gamma = \partial D$, we consider the elliptic problem in divergence form

$$-\operatorname{div}(A^\varepsilon(x)\nabla u^\varepsilon) = f(x) \text{ in } D, \quad u^\varepsilon = 0 \text{ on } \partial D. \quad (2.1)$$

Problem (2.1) has multiple separated scales in the sense that

$$A^\varepsilon(x) = A\left(x, \left\{\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right\}_Y\right), \quad x \in D \quad (2.2)$$

where $Y = (0, 1)^d$ denotes the unit cell and we denote by $[\frac{x}{\varepsilon}]_Y$ the unique element in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $x \in \varepsilon([\frac{x}{\varepsilon}]_Y + Y)$ and set $\{\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\}_Y := \frac{x}{\varepsilon} - [\frac{x}{\varepsilon}]_Y \in Y$. In (2.2), the function $A(x, y) \in L^\infty(D \times Y)_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d}$ is Y -periodic with respect to y and satisfies, for every $(x, y) \in D \times Y$, and some $0 < \alpha < 1$:

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : \alpha |\xi|^2 \leq \xi^\top A(x, y) \xi \leq \alpha^{-1} |\xi|^2. \quad (2.3)$$

Then problem (2.1) admits, for every $f \in L^2(D)$, a unique weak solution:

$$u^\varepsilon \in H_0^1(D) : \quad B^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon, v) = (f, v) \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(D) \quad (2.4)$$

where $B^\varepsilon(u, v) = \int_D \nabla v \cdot A(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \nabla u \, dx$. As it is well known, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, $u^\varepsilon \rightarrow u^0$ in $L^2(D)$ strongly and in $H^1(D)$ weakly, and u^0 is the solution of the homogenized problem

$$-\operatorname{div}(A^0(x)\nabla u^0) = f \text{ in } D, \quad u^0 = 0 \text{ on } \partial D, \quad (2.5)$$

and formulas for $A^0(x)$ are available [2, 6]. The lack of strong $H^1(D)$ -convergence indicates that in the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, information on the fine scale of u^ε is lost. It can be recovered by calculating so-called correctors by either differentiating u^ε or by solving a second problem of the type (2.1). Both approaches are not attractive as basis for numerical solution methods: differentiating a numerical approximation of u^0 will reduce convergence rates, and solving (2.1) for correctors amounts to solving a problem akin to the original one.

A variant of the two-scale convergence, originally due to [9, 1], and recently developed in [3], allows to obtain a single scale, “unfolded”, limit problem which gives u^0 and essential information on oscillations of u^ε . To describe it, define for every $\varphi \in L^2(D)$ the “unfolding” operator

$$\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\varphi)(x, y) := \begin{cases} \varphi\left(\varepsilon\left[\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right]_Y + \varepsilon y\right) & \text{if } \varepsilon\left(\left[\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right]_Y + Y\right) \subset D \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

for $x \in D, y \in Y$. Then there holds [3].

Proposition 2.1. *Assume that*

$$\tilde{A}^\varepsilon(x, y) := \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(A^\varepsilon)(x, y) \rightarrow \tilde{A}(x, y) \quad \text{a.e. } (x, y) \in D \times Y. \quad (2.7)$$

Then there exists in $u^0 \in H_0^1(D)$ such that, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the solutions u^ε of (2.4) satisfy

$$u^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{H^1(D)} u^0 \in H_0^1(D) \quad (2.8)$$

and there exists $\phi(x, y) \in L^2(D, H_{\text{per}}^1(Y)/\mathbb{R})$ such that, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\nabla_x u^\varepsilon) \xrightarrow{L^2(D \times Y)^d} \nabla_x u^0 + \nabla_y \phi \text{ in } L^2(D \times Y)^d. \quad (2.9)$$

The functions $u^0 \in H_0^1(D)$, $\phi \in L^2(D, H_{\text{per}}^1(Y)/\mathbb{R})$ solve the “unfolded” limiting problem: find $u^0 \in H_0^1(D)$, $\phi \in L^2(D, H_{\text{per}}^1(Y)/\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} B(u^0, \phi; v, \psi) := & \int_D \int_Y \{\nabla_x v + \nabla_y \psi\}^\top \tilde{A}(x, y) \{\nabla_x u^0 + \nabla_y \phi\} dy dx = \\ & \int_D f v dx \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(D), \psi \in L^2(D, H_{\text{per}}^1(Y)/\mathbb{R}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Remark 2.2. i) Problem (2.10) is independent of ε and therefore a single scale problem.

ii) As $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, $u^\varepsilon(x) \rightarrow u^0(x)$ in $L^2(D)$ and $\nabla_x u^\varepsilon \rightarrow \nabla_x u^0 + \nabla_y \phi(\cdot, \frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})$ strongly in $L^2(D, \mathbb{R}^d)$. Therefore, u^0 coincides with the solution of the homogenized problem (2.5) and ϕ retains information on the oscillations of u^ε as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Information on gradients of u^ε is introduced at the price of higher dimension of the limiting problem: while (2.5) is posed on $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, (2.10) must be solved on $D \times Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{2d}$.

iii) As (2.10) is derived by weak convergence methods, no information about the boundary behaviour of u^ε is obtained by solving (2.10).

iv) In (2.4), $f = f(x)$ was assumed independent of ε . Loadings of the type $f(x, x/\varepsilon)$ may equally be accommodated.

v) Property (2.7) holds under certain conditions on A^ε , for example if $A(x, y) = A(y)$ or if $A \in L^1(Y, C^0(D))$.

The limit problem (2.10) is well-posed:

Proposition 2.3. *Assume (2.3). Then there is $C > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall u \in H_0^1(D), \forall \phi \in L^2(D, H_{\text{per}}^1(Y)/\mathbb{R}) : \\ & B(u, \phi; u, \phi) \geq C(\|u\|_{H^1(D)}^2 + \|\phi\|_{L^2(D, H_{\text{per}}^1(Y))}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

The proof is immediate if we observe (2.3) and

$$|\nabla_x u + \nabla_y \phi|^2 = |\nabla_x u|^2 + 2\nabla_x u \cdot \nabla_y \phi + |\nabla_y \phi|^2$$

and that, due to $\phi \in L^2(D, H_{\text{per}}^1(Y)/\mathbb{R})$, it holds

$$\int_D \int_Y \nabla_x u \cdot \nabla_y \phi \, dy \, dx = \int_D \nabla_x u \cdot \int_Y \nabla_y \phi \, dy \, dx = 0.$$

The limit problem (2.10) admits the following regularity: if $\tilde{A}(x, y)$, ∂D are smooth, then $f \in H^{-1+k}(D)$ implies

$$u^0 \in H^{1+k}(D), \quad \phi \in H^k(D, C_{\text{per}}^\infty(\overline{Y})). \quad (2.12)$$

3. Sparse finite element discretization

We discretize the unfolded limiting problem (2.10) by a sparse Finite Element Method (FEM) (e.g. [5] and the references there). To this end, assume D is a bounded Lipschitz polyhedron with straight faces and let $\{\mathcal{T}_\ell^D\}$, $\{\mathcal{T}_\ell^Y\}$ be sequences of nested, quasiuniform meshes in D resp. Y consisting of shape-regular simplices T of meshwidth $h_\ell = O(2^{-\ell})$, and such that the periodic extension of $\mathcal{T}_\ell^Y$ beyond Y is regular.

Let further $p \geq 1$ be a polynomial degree. Then we denote

$$V_D^{\ell,1} = S_0^{p,1}(D, \mathcal{T}_\ell^D) = \{u \in H_0^1(D) : \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_\ell^D : u|_T \in \mathcal{P}_p(T)\},$$

$$V_Y^\ell = S_{\text{per}}^{p,1}(Y, \mathcal{T}_\ell^Y) = \{u \in H_{\text{per}}^1(Y) : \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_\ell^Y : u|_T \in \mathcal{P}_p(T)\}/\mathbb{R},$$

$$V_D^{\ell,0} = S^{p-1,0}(D, \mathcal{T}_\ell^D) = \{u \in L^2(D) : \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_\ell^D : u|_T \in \mathcal{P}_{p-1}(T)\},$$

where $\mathcal{P}_p(T)$ denotes the polynomials of total degree at most p on T .

Since the triangulations are nested, the Finite Element spaces are hierarchical:

$$\begin{aligned} V_D^{0,j} &\subset V_D^{1,j} \subset \dots \subset V_D^{\ell,j} \subset \dots \subset H^j(D), \\ V_Y^0 &\subset V_Y^1 \subset \dots \subset V_Y^\ell \subset \dots \subset H_{\text{per}}^1(Y). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Define orthogonal projections $P_D^{\ell,j} : H^j(D) \rightarrow V_D^{\ell,j}$, $j = 0, 1$ and $P_Y^\ell : H_{\text{per}}^1(Y) \rightarrow V_Y^\ell$ and set $P_D^{-1,j} := 0$, $P_Y^{-1} := 0$. Then we have the increment or detail-spaces

$$\begin{aligned} W_D^{\ell,j} &:= (P_D^{\ell,j} - P_D^{\ell-1,j}) V_D^{\ell,j}, \quad \ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots \\ W_Y^\ell &:= (P_Y^\ell - P_Y^{\ell-1}) V_Y^\ell, \quad \ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

and, for every $L > 0$, the multilevel decompositions

$$V_D^{L,j} = \bigoplus_{0 \leq \ell \leq L} W_D^{\ell,j}, \quad V_Y^L = \bigoplus_{0 \leq \ell \leq L} W_Y^\ell, \quad j = 0, 1 \quad (3.3)$$

which are orthogonal in $H^j(D)$ resp. $H_{\text{per}}^1(Y)$.

For $L > 0$, define the full tensor product space

$$S^L = V_D^{L,0} \otimes V_Y^L = \bigoplus_{0 \leq \ell, \ell' \leq L} W_D^{\ell,0} \otimes W_Y^{\ell'} \subset L^2(D, H_{\text{per}}^1(Y)/\mathbb{R}). \quad (3.4)$$

Assume the regularity (2.12) with some $k > 0$.

Then (2.11) shows that the finite element approximation

$$(u^L, \phi^L) \in V_D^{L,1} \times S^L : B(u^L, \phi^L; v^L, \psi^L) = (f, v^L) \quad \forall (v^L, \psi^L) \in V_D^{L,1} \times S^L \quad (3.5)$$

exists, is unique and a tensor product argument show that it satisfies the asymptotic error bounds

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u^0 - u^L\|_{H^1(D)} + \|\phi - \phi^L\|_{L^2(D, H^1(Y))} \\ & \leq C h_L^{\min(p,k)} \left\{ \|u^0\|_{H^{k+1}(D)} + \|\phi\|_{L^2(D; H^{k+1}(Y))} + \|\phi\|_{H^k(D; H^1(Y))} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

We note that $\dim(V_D^{L,1}) = O(2^{Ld}) = \dim V_Y^L$, whereas $\dim(S^L) = O(2^{2Ld}) = O(\dim(V_D^{L,1})^2)$ as $L \rightarrow \infty$, since S^L is a FE-space in $D \times Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{2d}$. Also, note that in (3.6) the full regularity (2.12) of ϕ was not used.

The large number of degrees of freedom in S^L due to high dimension renders the unfolded problem (2.10) impractical for efficient numerical solution. A remedy is to use the sparse tensor product spaces ([5] and the references there)

$$\widehat{S}^L := \bigoplus_{0 \leq \ell + \ell' \leq L} W_D^{\ell,0} \otimes W_Y^{\ell'} \quad (3.7)$$

which have substantially smaller dimension than the full tensor product spaces (3.4).

The joint regularity (2.12) in x and y of $\phi(x, y)$ allows to retain the error bounds (3.6) with $\widehat{S}^L$ in place of S^L :

Proposition 3.1. *Let $(\widehat{u}^L, \widehat{\phi}^L) \in V_D^{L,1} \times \widehat{S}^L$ denote the sparse FE-solutions which satisfy (3.5) with $\widehat{S}^L$ in place of S^L .*

If $u^0 \in H^{1+k}(D)$, $\phi \in H^k(D, H_{\text{per}}^{k+1}(Y))$, for some $k \geq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u^0 - \widehat{u}^L\|_{H^1(D)} + \|\phi - \widehat{\phi}^L\|_{L^2(D, H^1(Y))} \\ & \leq C(L+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} h_L^{\min(p,k)} \left\{ \|u^0\|_{H^{k+1}(D)} + \|\phi\|_{H^k(D; H^{k+1}(Y))} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

and the total number of degrees of freedom is bounded by

$$\dim(V_D^{L,1}) + \dim(\widehat{S}^L) \leq CL2^{dL}. \quad (3.9)$$

Remark 3.2. i) Since $\dim(V_D^{L,1}) \leq C2^{dL}$, computation of $(\widehat{u}, \widehat{\phi}^L)$ in $D \times Y$ requires, up to $L = O(|\log h_L|)$, the same number of degrees of freedom as the FE approximation of u^0 from (2.5) in D . Moreover, the FE approximation of (2.10)

does not require the determination of $A^0(x)$. In addition, the convergence rate (3.8) is, up to $(L+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, equal to the rate (3.6) for the full tensor product-spaces.

ii) Sparse tensor products of finite elements in one dimension were proposed by Zenger and his students in the 1990ies for the efficient solution of partial differential equations in three dimensions (see [5] and the references there). While allowing similar convergence rates as the full tensor product spaces, extra regularity of the solution (generally not available in non-smooth domains) is required. In (3.7), sparse tensor products of standard finite element spaces in D resp. $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ are taken and realistic regularity of the solution available from the structure of the limit problem (2.10) was used.

iii) The approach generalizes to problems with $M > 2$ scales. The unfolded problem is then posed on a product domain

$$D \times Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_{M-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{Md}$$

and an approximation of order $(L+1)^{\frac{M-1}{2}} h_L^{\min(p,k)}$ can be obtained with $O(L^{M-1} 2^{dL})$ degrees of freedom.

iv) Explicit construction of the sparse tensor product-space $\hat{S}^L$ requires bases for the detail-spaces $W_D^{\ell,0}$, W_Y^ℓ . These are available, for example, via suitable semiorthogonal wavelet bases (e.g. [4]). These bases allow also for optimal preconditioning of the linear system corresponding to (3.5).

v) We discussed here only the diffusion problem (2.1). The results can be generalized to Elasticity, and the Stokes Equations.

vi) If only partial periodicity or patchwise periodic patterns are present, the unfolding approach works equally. See [3] for details.

4. Stochastic data

Let (Ω, Σ, P) be a σ -finite probability space and $A(x) \in L^\infty(D, \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d})$ satisfy for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\exists \alpha, \beta > 0 : \alpha |\xi|^2 \leq \xi^T A(x) \xi \leq \beta |\xi|^2. \quad (4.1)$$

For a random source term $f \in L^2(\Omega, dP; L^2(D))$, consider the Dirichlet problem

$$L(x, \partial_x)u = -\nabla \cdot A(x) \nabla u(x, \omega) = f(x, \omega) \text{ in } D, u = 0 \text{ on } \partial D \quad (4.2)$$

for P -a.e. $\omega \in \Omega$. The random solution $u(x, \omega)$ of (4.2) is searched in the Bochner-space

$$\mathcal{H}_0^1(D) := L^2(\Omega, dP; H_0^1(D)) \cong L^2(\Omega, dP) \otimes H_0^1(D). \quad (4.3)$$

We note that $L^2(\Omega, dP)$, equipped with inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} u(\omega) v(\omega) dP(\omega), \quad (4.4)$$

is a Hilbert space. The variational form of (4.2) reads: find $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(D)$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}(u, v) = \langle f, v \rangle_{\mathcal{L}^2(D)} \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(D) \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}(u, v) = \langle (A \otimes id)(\nabla \otimes id)u, (\nabla \otimes id)v \rangle_{\mathcal{L}^2(D)^d}$$

and $\langle f, v \rangle_{\mathcal{L}^2(D)} = \int_D \langle f(x, \cdot), v(x, \cdot) \rangle dx$. The form $\mathcal{A}(\cdot, \cdot)$ in (4.5) is coercive on $\mathcal{H}_0^1(D) \times \mathcal{H}_0^1(D)$, implying the existence of a unique random solution $u(x, \omega)$ of (4.5). Numerical solution of (4.5) that involves a FEM in D and Monte-Carlo in Ω is prohibitively expensive. Alternatively, we might try to compute directly the statistics of $u(x, \omega)$. For example, the mean field $E_u(x) = \int_\Omega u(x, \omega) dP(\omega)$ solves

$$L(x, \partial_x)E_u = E_f \text{ in } D, E_u = 0 \text{ on } \partial D. \quad (4.6)$$

For $x, x' \in D$, define the two point correlation function

$$C_u(x, x') = \langle u(x, \cdot), u(x', \cdot) \rangle. \quad (4.7)$$

Then the variance of $u(x, \omega)$ is given by

$$(\text{Var } u(x, \cdot))^2 := (E_u(x))^2 - (C_u(x, x))^2. \quad (4.8)$$

The two-point correlation $C_u(x, x')$ is the solution of a deterministic problem in $D \times D$. Formally

$$L(x, \partial_x) L(x', \partial_{x'}) C_u = C_f \text{ in } D \times D, \quad (4.9)$$

and in variational form:

$$C_u \in H_{(0)}^{1,1}(D \times D) : Q(C_u, C_v) = (C_f, C_v) \quad \forall C_v \in H_{(0)}^{1,1}(D \times D) \quad (4.10)$$

where $H_{(0)}^{1,1}(D \times D) := H_0^1(D) \otimes H_0^1(D)$ and

$$Q(C_u, C_v) = \int_{D \times D} \nabla_{xy} C_v A(x) \otimes A(y) \nabla_{xy} C_u dx dy, \quad \nabla_{xy} := \nabla_x \otimes \nabla_y.$$

Proposition 4.1. *The two point correlation C_u of the random solution $u(x, \omega)$ is the unique solution of the deterministic problem (4.10) in $D \times D$.*

Hence to get two point correlation functions, Monte-Carlo can be traded for a deterministic problem in high dimensions. The key to its efficient numerical solution lies in the regularity of the solution: if the mean field problem (4.6) satisfies a shift-theorem at order $s > 0$, i.e. $E_f \in H^{-1+s}(D) \implies E_u \in H^{1+s}(D)$, then

$$C_f \in H^{-1+s, -1+s}(D \times D) \implies C_u \in H_{(0)}^{1+s, 1+s}(D \times D). \quad (4.11)$$

A similar regularity result in weighted spaces of mixed highest derivatives holds if $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ has corners [10]. This regularity in spaces of mixed highest derivatives allows to approximate C_u from the sparse tensor product FE spaces

$$\widehat{V}^L := \bigoplus_{0 \leq \ell + \ell' \leq L} W_0^{\ell,1} \otimes W_D^{\ell',1} \quad (4.12)$$

of dimension $\dim(\widehat{V}^L) \leq CL2^{dL}$ at a near optimal convergence rate: if $\widehat{C}_u^L \in \widehat{V}^L$ denotes the FE approximation of C_u , it holds [10]

$$\|C_u - \widehat{C}_u^L\|_{H^{1,1}(D \times D)} \leq C |\log h_L|^{\frac{1}{2}} h_L^{\min(s,p)} \|C_u\|_{H^{s+1,s+1}(D \times D)}. \quad (4.13)$$

Moreover, using a semiorthogonal wavelet basis of the detail-spaces $W_D^{\ell,1}$ in (3.2), we can design an algorithm which computes $\widehat{C}_u^L$ to the order of the discretization error (4.13) in $O(N_L L^{4d+2})$ operations where $N_L = O(2^{dL})$ denotes the number of degrees of freedom in D (see [10] for details).

As for homogenization problems with multiple scales, M point correlation functions of $u(x, \omega)$ can be approximated at the rate $|\log h_L|^{(M-1)/2} h_L^{\min(s,p)}$ with $O(N_L L^{M-1})$ degrees of freedom. Let us also remark that high regularity in (4.13) corresponds to strong spatial correlation of $u(x, \omega)$.

In the spatially uncorrelated limit, formally $C_f(x, y) = \delta(x - y)$ and we have for smooth ∂D , $A(x)$, $d \leq 3$:

$$C_u \in H^{1+s, 1+s}(D \times D), \quad 0 \leq s < 1 - \frac{d}{4},$$

so that only the low convergence rates $|\log h_L|^{\frac{1}{2}} h_L^{1-\frac{d}{4}-\varepsilon}$ for $\widehat{C}_u^L$ follow. This is due to the singular support of C_u being the diagonal $\{(x, y) : x = y\}$. The efficient approximation of such C_u is the topic of ongoing research.

References

- [1] G. Allaire: Homogenization and Two-scale convergence, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **23**, 1482-1518.
- [2] A. Bensoussan, G. Papanicolau and J.L. Lions: Asymptotic Analysis of periodic structures, North Holland 1978.
- [3] D. Cioranescu, A. Damlamian and G. Griso: Periodic unfolding and Homogenization, CRAS 2002 (to appear).
- [4] W. Dahmen: Wavelet and Multiscale Methods for operator equations, Acta Numerica **6** (1997), 55-228.
- [5] M. Griebel, P. Oswald and T. Schiekofer: Sparse grids for boundary integral equations, Numer. Math. **83** (1999), 279-312.
- [6] V.V. Jikov, S.M. Kozlov and O.A. Oleinik: Homogenization of Differential Operators and Integral Functionals, Springer Verlag 1994.
- [7] A.M. Matache and C. Schwab: Two-scale FEM for Homogenization Problems, Report 2001-06, Seminar for Applied Mathematics, ETH Zürich www.sam.math.ethz.ch/~reports (to appear).

- [8] A.M. Matache, I. Babuška and C. Schwab: Generalized p -FEM for Homogenization, *Numerische Mathematik*, **86** Issue 2 (2000), 319-375.
- [9] G. Nguetseng: A general convergence result for a functional related to the theory of homogenization, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **20** (1989), 608-629.
- [10] Ch. Schwab and R.A. Todor: Sparse Finite Elements for elliptic problems with stochastic data, Research Report 2002-05, Seminar for Applied Mathematics, ETH Zürich, Switzerland.